

## **Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan**

### **Section 7 – Town Centre – General Comments**

Representations: Total received 2

Number in Support: 1

### **Summary of Representations**

WCC public health supportive of policies relating to cycling and TC strategic partnership. SDC expressed concern about policies potentially in conflict with position taken by WCC on transport initiatives.

### **Modification Proposed:**

Modifications, including re-designation of certain policies as projects, set out under individual policies.

## Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Section 7 – Town Centre - General Comments	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	--	--

<b>Statutory Consultees' Comments</b>				
1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	<p>In all the bullet points following “Our expectations...” the word “town” should be replaced with the word “centre”.</p> <p>Is the current position within the Plan the most appropriate place for the proposals map? Given that the map is referred to in so many other policies and sections of the Plan, it would be better placed at the beginning or end of the Plan, or produced as a separate document as an appendix. There is a lot of information on this map and it is very ‘busy’. It is difficult to pick out all the detail at A4. Some of the colours and denoted areas are very similar in shade and could be confused. Could it be produced at A3 to provide clarity? If this is not possible, perhaps separate maps should be produced showing the various individual layers or constraints.</p> <p>Insert “a” between “from” and “market” in the first sentence.</p> <p>In 3rd bullet point replace “primary” with “out-of-centre”.</p> <p>In last bullet point replace “edge of town” with “out of town centre”</p>	<p>This section will be re-written</p> <p>The proposals map will be placed at the end of the document as a larger pull out version that is easier to read</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>

			<p>Policies TC13-TC18 and Policies INF1-INF6 - A number of the transportation policies/proposals conflict with the position being taken by the County Council (see letter from Warwickshire County Council dated 15 May 2015 to Neighbourhood Plan Team) or otherwise contain transportation initiatives for which there is no known costing and little supporting evidence.</p>	<p>Noted. See individual policies for details of re-designation as projects</p>
1004	WCC – Public Health		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Public Health Warwickshire supports the formation of the Town Centre Strategic Partnership</li> <li>· With regards to the proposed improvements to ‘the pedestrian and cyclist experience’. We strongly recommend that the cycle parking is considered and suggest that developer contributions could fund this. Example costs are detailed within our Neighbourhood Development Planning for Health document 5.3.2.</li> <li>· Similarly, we strongly recommend referring to section 5.2 of our document for example costs that developer contributions could fund to improve signage and the image of the town.</li> <li>· Public Health Warwickshire agrees that new car parks should only be permitted where they will help reduce congestion; otherwise we recommend that the use of public transport and active travel is encouraged;</li> <li>· Therefore we support Policy TC16.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted</p>