

Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy NE1

Representations: Total received 26

Number in Support: 20

Modification Proposed:

Despite strong local support for the LNR Designations proposed, as SDC is not prepared at this stage to Designate the land it owns at Warwick Road Lands as LNR this site will need to be removed from the Policy.

Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Policy NE1	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	------------	--

Statutory Consultees' Comments				
1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	<p>Are there resources to designate and maintain the two Local Nature Reserves proposed?</p> <p>It is unclear who is expected to designate these LNRs. The Town Council can do this but it would need these powers delegated to it by the County or District Council.</p>	<p>CIL receipts.</p> <p>As the Town Council does not have delegated power to designate sites as Local Nature Reserves it would be for the District or County Councils to do so. Following further discussions with SDC we are aware</p>

				that SDC is not prepared at this stage to Designate the land it owns at Warwick Road Lands as LNR so this will need to be removed from the Policy.
--	--	--	--	--

Agents and Developers' Comments				
505	Boyer Planning re: Hallam Land Management	Policy NE1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do not object to designation of local nature reserves but it is important that it is justified and demonstrated that it is locally important • LNR designations must be made with the permission of the district council who must control the land through ownership or lease agreement. The TC must be able to evidence this and show that a management plan is in place to ensure the policy is deliverable • The extent of designation at Trinity Way is far greater than those areas which are identified as existing woodland and meadowland habitats and includes a lower value grassland • The extent of designation should be reconsidered in consultation with landowners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further discussion will be needed with SDC and landowners over the principle of the designations • Further discussions will be needed on the extent of the designation • A better quality and clearer map would be helpful • It is clear that the author has future ambitions for land south of trinity way so the NDP group must be mindful of this • Designating land as a LNR should be done on the ecological value of the land not to deter future development • Having said that land to the south of Trinity Way is not supported in the NDP for housing development.
514	Stansgate Planning re Town		The Town Trust object to Warwick Road Lands being proposed as Local Nature Reserve (LNR). It is	While we disagree with this representation, for the reasons

	Trust		<p>already a Local Wildlife Site (LWS) and there is no reason provided why this should be amended to a Local Nature Reserve. There is no evidence presented to support such a change to the designation and the evidence base of the Core Strategy does not support it.</p> <p>Moreover, it is not deliverable as the district council have no control over Warwick Road Lands.</p> <p>The difference between LWS and LNR is that the latter has statutory protection. Notably, to be designated LNR the District Council has to control the LNR land either through ownership, a lease or an agreement with the owner. Furthermore, as a manager of a LNR you must also make the land accessible for any visitors. In the case of Warwick Road Lands, it is privately owned land; no agreement is in place with the owner and no attempt has been made to secure such an agreement. It is therefore undeliverable and not appropriate to propose the Lands as LNR in the NP.</p> <p>There is no definition of what constitutes the Warwick Road Lands and the LWS is named Lench Meadows so it is not at all clear that they are the same site. The Lench Meadows on Figure 10 of the NP appears to reflect the area of the Warwick Road Lands. It could be clarified in the key on Figure 10 which could identify site names.</p>	<p>given for Rep 1001 above Warwick Road Lands will be removed from this Policy.</p>
--	-------	--	---	--

Residents' Comments				
013	Rosanna Dymoke-Grainger		All sounds great	Supportive

022	Quentin Willson		Every effort should be made to protect green spaces within Stratford. Developers are closing in like sharks and threatening the quality of life of residents.	Supportive
024	Michaela Willson		I approve of a green ring around Stratford for community enjoyment. Developers must not be allowed to build on the remaining green spaces	Supportive
026	Sandra Oram		Why are there no local nature reserves identified for Tiddington? There are various areas that would surely be suitable	None were put forward for consideration
030	Annie English	Warwickshire Wildlife Trust	The Trust supports the proposals to designate new LNRs, assuming that the sites identified meet the requirements.	Supportive
038	Amanda Waters		Agree with all.	Supportive
040	Mark Dickin		The navigation of the river should be opened up so that craft can move between Warwick/Leamington from Stratford's Basin	Supportive
056	Martyn Luscombe	Stratford Voice	Support	Supportive
057	Trevor Honychurch		Strongly support	Supportive
060	Richard Price		Fully endorse	Supportive
095	Eric Ward		Welcome initiatives	Supportive
108	Cheryl Aubrey	Work at The Fourteas, 24 Sheep Street.	Great, important and will enhance Stratford	Supportive

111	Mrs Jose Deer		I am concerned about the future of Rowley Fields (CLW4. Section 11) the Town Trust had assured us that there would be no development on this precious green space. It is used by so many people for so many different reasons at all times of the day and evening. Now I learn that the Trust is reconsidering the situation.	Supportive
121	Helen Rowena Warrillow		I strongly support this policy and the protection given to the sites by designating them Local Nature Reserves	Supportive
139	Renny Wodynska		I believe we should have at least 20 areas designated around the district - more work needs to be done on this e.g. with Warwickshire Wildlife Trust.	Supportive, but this Plan only relates to the Stratford Neighbourhood Area.
140	Alan George		20 more areas should be designated around the district. Work with local groups such as Warwickshire Wildlife Trust	Supportive, but this Plan only relates to the Stratford Neighbourhood Area.
174	Sarah Eglin		rubbish and dog mess in all the green spaces in an issue, I'd like to see stricter enforcement of penalties for anti-social behaviour which are detrimental to the green spaces	Outside the scope of the NDP
182	David White		Excellent idea, but must get total protection from encroaching development.	Supportive
201	Graham John Nicholson	The Inland Waterways Assoc. (Warks branch)	Support	Supportive
202	Mr Mark Rowlands		I propose adding the land at Bishopton (between A46 & Bishopton Lane). This is a potentially significant area which could be converted from low level agricultural land to a meadow or woodland.	This has been earmarked for development by the draft Core Strategy
226	Debs Campton		Excellent plan	Supportive

228	John Campton		Support strongly	Supportive
278	Joan Graham		We need to preserve and protect as much green space and biodiversity as possible - it benefits everyone.	Supportive