

Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy NE3

Representations: Total received 17

Number in Support: 12

Modification Proposed:

The wording will be amended to reflect the suggestion by SDC.

Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Policy NE3	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	------------	--

Statutory Consultees' Comments				
1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	<p>In the second paragraph “large-scale” is not defined.</p> <p>It is considered too onerous and impractical to ask all development to incorporate 2 year old native trees and hedges in planting schemes. Since planting is not covered by planning legislation, this requirement could not be implemented and enforced, particularly in smaller scale development proposals.</p>	<p>Noted. This should be included in the explanatory text.</p> <p>Delete “(minimum of two years old)”</p>

			<p>Possible suggested re-wording of policy in order to tie-in with recognisable national standard: “All new development will be expected to protect mature healthy trees and hedges where appropriate, as per BS 5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction. Where this is not possible appropriate, new trees and hedges should be planted to replace those lost. All Most new developments should incorporate planting of appropriate new tree and hedge planting nursery of a suitable size and species stock (minimum of 2 years old) native trees and hedges in their plans. The new hedge or shrub planting should be implemented as per the recommendations in BS 4428:1989 Code of practice for general landscape operations and any new tree planting should be carried out in accordance with BS 8545:2014 Trees from nursery to independence in the landscape. Large-scale developments will need to demonstrate that they have been landscape led in order to avoid retrofitting of poor quality or token landscaping landscape design”.</p>	<p>Reword to reflect this comment. May want to add in the explanation reference to successors in title re the BS references.</p>
--	--	--	--	---

Residents' Comments				
030	Annie English	Warwickshire Wildlife Trust	<p>The Trust support the protection of mature trees and hedgerows, however they already receive some protection in national and local policy. This neighbourhood level policy could perhaps be enhanced by the identification of specific trees or hedges that are particularly important to the community (for biodiversity of cultural reasons) and/or further details of specific species that are</p>	Supportive

			native to the area and would be considered appropriate for new planting schemes.	
056	Martyn Luscombe	Stratford Voice	Support	Supportive
057	Trevor Honychurch		Strongly support- all new developments should plant 2 year old stock not "whipps"	Supportive
086	Jenny Fradgley		Ensure replacement of dead and dying trees on roads already rich in trees and establish new tree lined roads where residents agree e.g. Evesham Road close to town	Supportive
092	Suzanne Helen Bower		There is a clear link between community wellbeing & nature, it's great to see this reflected in the plan.	Supportive
095	Eric Ward		Strongly agree	Supportive
108	Cheryl Aubrey	Work at The Fourteas, 24 Sheep Street.	Agreed	Supportive
139	Renny Wodynska		No development should be allowed unless they are similar to housing in Bourneville - refer to Bourneville Village Trust. Current wording is for NE3 is much, much too weak.	The NDP team have been advised by the Bourneville Trust, however the NDP is required to be consistent with the NPPF
140	Alan George		Wording is much too weak. Much more emphasis needs to be given to natural environment.	We believe this policy strikes the right balance and has to take account of the Core Strategy and the NPPF.

169	Anthony Reheusser	N/A	CLW4 :there is not much natural "green space" in Stratford. What there is should be protected. I personally use the Welcombe Hills and Rowley Fields for walking in and jogging in.	Supportive
174	Sarah Eglin		rubbish and dog mess in all the green spaces in an issue, I'd like to see stricter enforcement of penalties for anti-social behaviour which are detrimental to the green spaces	Not within the scope of the NDP
201	Graham John Nicholson	The Inland Waterways Assoc. (Warks branch)	Support	Supportive
226	Debs Campton		Important to safeguard trees and hedges	Supportive
227	Maggie Greaves		Tiddington does not currently have a large enough playing field suitable for kicking a ball, playing rounder's/cricket for children and families. The fields are used for exercise/dog walking and general enjoyment which development will have an impact.	This is a recognised deficiency.
228	John Campton		Support	Supportive
278	Joan Graham		We need to preserve and protect as much green space and biodiversity as possible - it benefits everyone.	Supportive