

## **Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan**

### **Policy CLW11**

#### **Representations:**

Total received: 9

Number in Support: 5

Number neutral or outside scope of NDP: 4

Number of objections: 0

#### **Summary of Representations:**

Generally supportive. SDC highlighted technical concerns over funding.

#### **Modification Proposed:**

This policy will be moved to the Infrastructure section (to be renumbered Policy INF3). Only the minor modifications noted in the responses below and any needed to remain consistent with the Core Strategy and the NPPF are proposed. These include wording changes concerning CIL funding.

## Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Policy CLW11	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	--------------	--

<b>Statutory Consultees' Comments</b>				
1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	Strategic Developments that generate the need for an additional primary care facility can be expected to pay towards this through S106 (under CIL regulation 123 up to five developments can pay for a single item of infrastructure). In general any facilities that meet the cumulative needs of a number of developments (and in some cases some of the existing population) will need to seek CIL funding from the District Council. The proposed policy wording as drafted is not CIL compliant.	Noted. Wording of last sentence to be amended to “Qualifying developments will be expected to contribute to the enhancement of healthcare facilities in accordance with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations”.

<b>Residents' Comments</b>				
028	Gordon Harrington		Protecting and enhancing health care provision is very important, particularly with the older age profile of Stratford residents.	Supportive.
049	Gillian M. Hayward		There seems to be a lack of properly medically supervised accommodation for people in need of it who are struggling in the community with severe mental health issues, only today outside Trinity Court Surgery I encountered a poor fellow who I think had had an appointment with a health care professional and been sent on his way and clearly he just couldn't cope and he was outside lying on the floor, and later when I left he was still there but bent over against the	Noted

			wall. Patients mentioned the poor chap was there and the staff just laughed it off and said he is just attention seeking but clearly he needed some help and a safe place to go.	
056	Martyn Luscombe	Stratford Voice	Support	Supportive.
057	Trevor Honychurch		Agree	Supportive.
095	Eric Ward		A huge and complex area, needing frank examination.	Noted
170	Peter Emmerson		I support the importance of preserving allotments, but I think the Plan should be more ambitious in seeking to increase the number, at least in line with the planned increase in housing. A reference to community orchards should also be added. I would also like to see the Plan committing itself to increasing the public open spaces in the town, If planned imaginatively, these could become a visitor attraction in themselves. For instance, the creation of a Physic Garden would have clear educational benefit and could double as, for example, a secure space for the display of open air sculpture. The car park opposite the District Council offices (behind the Windmill pub) would make an excellent location.	Noted. Refers to Policy CLW10
226	Debs Campton		Important to safeguard and improve existing healthcare facilities - the scandal of selling off Stratford Hospital in the past for a hotel should not be forgotten.	Supportive.
228	John Campton		Yes	Supportive.