

Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy CLW2

Representations:

Total received: 19

Number in Support: 14

Number neutral or outside scope of NDP: 5

Number of objections: 0

Summary of Representations:

Generally supportive. SDC highlighted need to expand reference to CIL tariff.

Modification Proposed:

Only the minor modifications noted in the responses below and any needed to remain consistent with the Core Strategy and the NPPF are proposed. Wording amended to make specific reference to CIL projects listed in an appendix to the plan.

Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Policy CLW2	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	-------------	--

Statutory Consultees' Comments

1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	This policy requires re-working if it is going to be useful in collecting S106 money. Looking further ahead, how will it fit with a CIL tariff? Will it be a redundant policy then?	<p>The policy will be reworded as follows:</p> <p>Qualifying developments will be expected to contribute, where appropriate, to the enhancement of community facilities identified through site specific Section 106 discussions or as part of a project identified by this Plan under the Community Infrastructure Levy (see Appendix 1).</p>
------	----------------------------	---------------------	---	--

Agents and Developers' Comments

507	JLL re: Gateway One	Developer's Agent	<p>The groups currently identified by this policy include: younger generation; older generation; and families.</p> <p>Tourists also play a significant part in the town centre economy, and hence should also be included within the policy text.</p>	CLW 2 This policy has been designed to ensure that particular consideration is given to these groups who are likely to be resident in the town because there is considered to be an inadequate current provision. Doing so does
-----	---------------------	-------------------	---	---

				not mean, of course, that the interests of visitors in such facilities will be overlooked. Therefore, we suggest no change to this policy.
514	Stansgate Planning re Town Trust		This policy conflicts with TC9 as it seeks to resist the loss of existing community facilities but TC9 seeks redevelopment of Rother Triangle Environmental Improvement Area which would lead to the loss of the Arts House, a community facility. Other representations on behalf of the Town Trust to Policy TC9 request amendment to acknowledge the Arts House and such an amendment would bring the policies into line.	Noted. This representation appears to relate to policy CLW1 which will be amended by adding the wording “or is to be replaced by a new facility of at least equivalent standard”.

Residents' Comments				
020	Ross Anthony	The Theatres Trust	The Theatres Trust supports this Policy. The importance of planning for culture and cultural facilities is emphasised in the National Planning Policy Framework by being included as a core planning principle (item 17). This is supported by guidance in item 70 of the NPPF which states that to deliver the social, recreational and cultural facilities and services that the community needs, planning policies and decisions should plan for the use of shared space and guard against unnecessary loss of valued facilities. Also to ensure that established facilities and services are retained and able to develop for the benefit of the community. Recommendation: For clarity, and so that guidelines are clear and consistent, we recommend that the	Supportive. Plan will be amended in policy CLW1 to include the suggested wording (see response to CLW1 representation 020 from The Theatres Trust).

			accompanying text and the Glossary contains an explanation for the term 'community facilities'. We recommend this succinct all-inclusive description which would obviate the need to provide examples: community facilities provide for the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual, recreational, leisure and cultural needs of the community.	
028	Gordon Harrington		It is essential to enhance the existing leisure centre and to promote more strongly play and sports provision and community facilities. Particularly for young people. Dual use of community facilities should be encouraged.	Supportive.
049	Gillian M. Hayward		We do really need something similar to Youth Club facility to replace and improve on what Tyler Street used to do. Why can't this be at Stratford College. Not much of the College is in use in the evenings these days because not a lot of evening courses run now, well the level of fees had become prohibitive for many.	Noted. Additional wording to support youth clubs will be included in explanatory text.
056	Martyn Luscombe	Stratford Voice	Support	Supportive.
057	Trevor Honychurch		Agree. All privately operated facilities must offer realistic fees. The question of Late Night entertainment is delicate. Balance between peace & quiet for residents and entertainment. Taxis and cars using the main roads out of town can be very noisy.	Supportive.
064	Richard Eden		Good	Supportive.
080	Roger Francis Harris	Friends of Lucy's Mill Bridge	see above	Representations to CLW1 noted. Explanatory text will be expanded to include youth clubs
095	Eric Ward		Strongly agree	Supportive.
119	Liz Thompson, Director of Communications	Royal Shakespeare Company	We welcome the support for new leisure, entertainment and community facilities especially for younger and older generations and for families. We have a particular audience development priorities to	Supportive and noted.

			encourage more 16-44 year old visitors and families. We hope the policy will also recognise the opportunities for these groups presented by cultural organisations and attractions. We welcome lower charges or free parking in the evenings, and some increased pedestrianisation, though we would like the policy to acknowledge the safety aspect of mixed use space in the evenings, to enhance personal safety for audiences and staff.	
121	Helen Rowena Warrillow		It is often overlooked that churches play a major role in promoting a sense of community. When considering the accessibility of community facilities and reviewing the associated parking costs, please give consideration to the need for families and the elderly to park close to churches at Service times and particularly on Sunday mornings. Apart from the additional cost, some have been known to stop attending because the time restrictions on meters do not enable them to find suitable parking within a reasonable walking distance for their abilities. You do not have to be a blue-badge holder to find distances difficult. (Please see comment under TC14)	Noted.
134	Roger Holbeche		The inclusion of the whole of Rowley Fields, including the parcel of land to the rear of Benson Road is correct and helps fulfil the aim of promoting leisure facilities for families and the elderly.	Noted.
173	Neil Williams		Stratford used to have a vibrant nightlife. Now what we have is a town slowly dying a death in the evening. Friday nights may as well be Tuesday night. Even Henley is busier than Stratford these days and it is something that must change. Too many late night bars have closed (perhaps 6 recently), leaving nowhere to go. The trouble they caused is vastly over-exaggerated and the town has pandered to a minority of moaners who probably behaved in exactly	Noted. The explanatory text will be amended to reference need for additional nightlife.

			the same way in their youth. Stratford needs better nightlife for people of all ages. Not just one bar where everyone has to squeeze in. If they want to attract younger people, then this is something that must improve.	
201	Graham John Nicholson	The Inland Waterways Assoc. (Warks branch)	Agree	Supportive.
214	Anthony Mclsaac		I support this policy. In particular - municipal tennis courts that are affordable for young people and easy to access - informal football pitches in areas that are kept separate from dog walking areas	Supportive.
228	John Campton		Vital priority	Supportive.
257	Bennet Carr, Headmaster	King Edward VI School	Promoting Leisure, Entertainment and new Community Facilities. New leisure, entertainment and new community facilities specifically for the younger generation.	Supportive.