

Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy CLW3

Representations:

Total received: 11

Number in Support: 8

Number neutral or outside scope of NDP: 2

Number of objections: 1

Summary of representations:

Generally supportive but SDC highlighted aspirational nature of the policy

Modification Proposed:

Despite the general support for the intentions of this policy from residents, the matter falls outside the remit of a Neighbourhood Development Plan to enforce as a policy, however to retain the initiatives contained within it, it will be converted to a Project (CLW Project 1).

Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Policy CLW3	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	-------------	--

Statutory Consultees' Comments				
1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	<p>This is expressed more as an ambition than as a policy capable of implementation in land use planning terms. Furthermore, “mixed tenures” in and of themselves won’t address this issue: accessibility and access to care are likely to be of greater significance in this context. In any case, its fit with Policies H6 and H8 is unclear. See also the proposed new extra care allocation policy in Section 12.</p> <p>Suggest insert “and a range of dwelling types” after “tenures”.</p>	<p>This will be re-designated as a project (CLW Project 1).</p> <p>However, it is considered necessary to retain a project that specifically addresses the concern so this Policy CLW3 will be amended to be acceptable in land use planing terms.</p> <p>There are adequate policies in this NDP and the Core Strategy that will accommodate new extra care development proposals.</p>

Residents' Comments				
018	Stephen Wreford		<p>I support this policy as it will start to re-integrate older people into the rest of the community and stop them being isolated in pockets of care homes/retirement homes far from the rest of the "life" of the town/village.</p>	Supportive.

056	Martyn Luscombe	Stratford Voice	Support	Supportive
057	Trevor Honychurch		Agree	Supportive.
064	Richard Eden		Good	Supportive.
095	Eric Ward		Do not restrict this to Elderly People	Noted.
121	Helen Rowena Warrillow		As well as children's play spaces, some form of community centre should also be included in such schemes.	Supportive.
201	Graham John Nicholson	The Inland Waterways Assoc. (Warks branch)	Agree	Supportive
226	Debs Campton		Very important to prevent social isolation of the expanding elderly population. Important to promote and increase public green spaces where there are opportunities for contact with the natural environment and exercise . It is proven that an active population experiences better levels of health and well-being .	Supportive.
227	Maggie Greaves		Tiddington does not currently have a large enough playing field suitable for kicking a ball, playing rounder's/cricket for children and families. The fields are used for exercise/dog walking and general enjoyment which development will have an impact. Current open space is privately owned and not available for the general public.	Noted. This a recognised problem by the Residents Association. Policy CLW2 encourages provision of additional leisure facilities.
228	John Campton		Vital priority	Supportive.