

Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy CLW5

Representations:

Total received: 17

Number in Support: 12

Number neutral or outside scope of NDP: 2

Number of objections: 3

Summary of Representations:

Generally supportive with some objections to inclusion of Natural England's Greenspace Standard in the policy

Modification Proposed:

This will be renumbered Policy CLW4. Reference to Natural England's Greenspace Standard in the policy will be removed. Policy will be amended to apply to developments of 10 homes or more.

Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Policy CLW5	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	-------------	--

Statutory Consultees' Comments				
1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	<p>The open space standards for new development are set out in Core Strategy Policy CS.24, supported by an Open Space Needs Assessment. The Council wishes to avoid any confusion or uncertainty being created as a result of reference to an additional standard (Natural England's Greenspace Standard) to this framework.</p> <p>In 1st paragraph "large-scale" is not defined.</p> <p>Policy Explanation - The 2014 Open Space, Sport and Recreation Assessment confirms that there is an existing deficit in play space for children and young people against the District Council's recommended standards. The shortfall identified in the provision of mini and junior football pitches and junior rugby pitches, reported in the Playing Pitch Strategy 2011, is to be reviewed in a revised Playing Pitch Strategy for the District to be produced later this year.</p>	<p>Reference to Natural England's Greenspace Standard will be deleted.</p> <p>Will be amended to "10 homes or more"</p> <p>Noted</p>
1005	Natural England		Natural England also support Policy CLW5 – Open Space and Play Areas within New Development, Policy CLW6 – Promoting New Strategic Green Open Spaces and Policy CLW7 – Encouraging Safe	Supportive.

			Walking and Cycling, with particular reference to provisions of green infrastructure. Green infrastructure can perform a range of functions including improved flood risk management, provision of accessible green space, climate change adaptation and biodiversity enhancement. GI can be designed to maximise the benefits needed for development, such as promoting opportunities for recreation, improving links between communities and promoting sustainable transport, such as the walking and cycling routes. For further information on GI, including its economic benefits, see Natural England's website.	
--	--	--	--	--

Agents and Developers' Comments				
508	Pegasus Group re: Gallagher Estates	Policy CLW5	NE's National Green Space Standard are not formally adopted and were published prior to the NPPF. This policy should therefore be revised in line with the CS	Policy will be amended to remove reference to this standard
512	RPS re Taylor Wimpey and Miller Homes		<p>This policy is linked to the development of areas of new open space within large development, characterised under draft policy BE3 as 10 units or over.</p> <p>The policy makes direct links to new developers complying with Natural England's Greenspace Standard recommendations which, though are not explicitly clear, are considered to be the Accessible Natural Greenspace Standard (ANGSt). This is not a formally adopted policy document and it is worth noting that both the evidence behind the document and the publication itself were published prior to the publication of the NPPF. The actual standards for ANGSt are published on Natural England's website</p>	<p>Policy will be amended to make specific reference to developments of 10 homes or more.</p> <p>Reference to NE Green Space Standard will be deleted.</p>

			<p>as archived content, an action which was taken on 5 June 2014.</p> <p>It should also be noted that the emerging Core Strategy includes a list of open space standards under policy CS.24 (b). This includes standards for development to adhere to for each of the open space typologies in Stratford District and can be applied in both the town and rural areas.</p> <p>The ANGSt criterion is not intended to be applied on a development site basis. Instead, it should be a tool to guide development towards locations which can benefit most from access to different green spaces.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

Residents' Comments				
022	Quentin Willson		Rowley Fields is an essential green space that must be protected for the residents of the town. Any attempts to build must be strenuously resisted. This is an enormously special parcel of land that's a vital community resource.	Noted. See policy CLW4
056	Martyn Luscombe	Stratford Voice	Support	Supportive.
057	Trevor Honychurch		Strongly agree. All new developments must provide open space & play areas together with mature tree planting. The Council must take on long term maintenance to avoid poor quality support.	Supportive.
064	Richard Eden		Good	Supportive.
086	Jenny Fradgley		Ensure space for teenage use as well as toddler play areas.	Supportive and noted
095	Eric Ward		Strongly agree	Supportive.

148	MRS NICHOLE SOUTH		Tiddington Fields There will not be enough public open space for the ratio of residents UNRESTRICTED NATURAL ACCESSIBLE GREEN SPACE FOR LOCAL SERVICE VILLAGE IS 0.75 HECTARES PER 1,000 PEOPLE.	Tiddington issues will be addressed in site specific briefs
174	Sarah Eglin		Agree	Supportive.
183	Sharon Taylor		As above	Supportive.
183	Sharon Taylor	Tiddington Village Residents' Association	As above. The minimum ratio is not enough for Tiddington as we are so poorly lacking currently. We would expect the maximum ratio.	Supportive.
201	Graham John Nicholson	The Inland Waterways Assoc. (Warks branch)	Agree	Supportive.
228	John Campton		Support	Supportive.
296	Kate Rolfe		All larger developments should have ample open spaces for recreational use for its residents. This is part of the health and wellbeing agenda at county.	Supportive.