

Responses to Representations made on the Pre-submission Draft Stratford Neighbourhood Development Plan

Section 11 – Community, Leisure and Well-being – General Comments

Representations:

Total received: 2

Number in Support: 1

Number neutral or outside scope of NDP: 0

Number of objections: 1

Summary of Representations

SDC does not agree with the introductory statement that the recent dramatic expansion in housing has not been matched by the provision of new or enhanced leisure facilities.

Modification Proposed:

In addition to the modifications in the Responses column, see individual Policies.

Individual Representations and Steering Group Responses

Code Number	Full Name	Organisation represented (where applicable)	Section 11 – Community, Leisure and Well-being - General	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Response
-------------	-----------	---	--	--

Statutory Consultees' Comments				
1001	Stratford District Council	Statutory Consultee	<p>It is inaccurate to state that ‘Stratford’s recent dramatic expansion in housing has not been matched by the provision of new or enhanced community and leisure facilities and open spaces’. Housing developments have provided for additional open space and the refurbishment of the Stratford Leisure Centre through S106 agreements.</p> <p>Figure 11: The map is not clear. There are many other layers on the map not relevant to this policy, making the map ‘busy’ and difficult to decipher at this scale. Could it be simplified, for clarity?</p>	<p>General perception is that, although there has been investment in community and leisure facilities, it has not kept pace with the expansion in housing.</p> <p>The map will be revised for the Submission version.</p>
1004	WCC – Public Health		<p>We support the policies set out for Objective A to improve promote strong, resilient communities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · We support the policies set out in Objective B of the Plan and recommend that you refer to section 5 of our Neighbourhood Development Planning for Health document for example costs that developer contributions could fund. · We strongly support Objective C and Policies CLW11 and CLW12. We recommend that Public Health Warwickshire’s Health Planners 	Supportive.